| | | Steven D Maki | 1733 | | |
|---|------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|--|
| All Participants: | | Status of Application: | | | |
| (1) Steven D. Maki. | | (3) | | | |
| (2) Paul Ditmyer. | | (4) | | | |
| Date of Interview: 13 May 2004 | | Time: | | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant | ☐ Applica | nt's representative) | | | |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☑ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | | | |
| Part I. | | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: none | | | | | |
| Claims discussed: none | | | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | | | | | |
| Part II. | | | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Cardinuction Sheet | | | | | |
| Part III. | | | | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview, since the interview discusty presubled in the additionate of the high provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Affordability. Rout T. Above. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Steven & Make | | | | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) | (Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative Signature | gnature – if appr | opriate) | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Ex | aminer Initiates | d Interview Summary | Pa | per No. 052004 | |

Application No.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Applicant(s)
GREGG ET AL.

Art Unit

Continuation of Substance of Infeview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative called for status of after final amendment field 3-1-04. Examiner informed applicant's representative tall not after final amendment is listed in the contents of the application displayed by PALM. Examiner requested that no after final amendment management final 3-1-04 to the official final nonthering 15-3-2-36 of the PTO. Examiner also requested applicant to fix a copy of the after final amendment file of a comment (see inference of the property of the story of the

| | Steven D. Maki | 1733 | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | _ | | |
| (1) Steven D. Maki. | (3) | | | |
| (2) Paul Ditmyer. | (4) | | | |
| Date of Interview: 26 May 2004 | Time: | | | |
| Type of Interview: ⊠ Telephonic □ Video Conference □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant □ A | pplicant's representative) | | | |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | | |
| Part I. | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: none | | | | |
| Claims discussed: 18 and 20-33 | | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | | | | |
| Part II. | | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: see interview summary attachment | | | | |
| Part III. | | | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview, since the substance of the interview of the substance of the interview in the allocate of the substance of the interview in the allocate of the interview in the allocate of the interview in the allocate of the interview o | | | | |
| | | | | |
| there o Maki | | | | |
| , | licant/Applicant's Representative S | ignature – if appr | opriate) | |
| U.S. Parent and Trademark Office PTOL-613B (04-03) Examine | Initiated interview Summary | Pa | sper No. 052604 | |

Application No.

10/079,729

Examiner

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

GREGG ET AL.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,729
Art Unit: 1733

Interview Summary Attachment

Examiner made courtesy call to applicant's representative informing him that (1) the faxed unofficial copy of the 3-1-04 after final amendment along with the facsimilie confirmation has been received on 5-13-04 and (2) the IFW file, which is not complete since the case in scanning, lists an after final amendment filed 5-13-04. The entry in the IFW file of an after final amendment filed 5-13-04 indicates that the faxed official copy of the 3-1-04 after final amendment has been received. Examiner further informed applicant's representative that (1) the faxed unofficial copy of the 3-1-04 after final amendment along with the facsimile confirmation has been considered and places the case in condition for allowance and (2) a notice of allowability, which has already been prepared, will be mailed once the official copy of the 3-1-04 after final amendment and its facsimile receipt is properly of record in the IFW file and is confirmed to the same as the above noted unofficial copy of the 3-1-04 after final amendment along with the facsimile confirmation.